A Cationic Antimonite Chain Templated by Sulfate: [Sb $_6$ O₇⁴⁺] $[(SO_4^{2-})_2]$

Honghan Fei, Cari S. Han, and Scott R. J. Oliver*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Ca[lifo](#page-2-0)rnia, Santa Cruz, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, California 95064, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [An](#page-2-0) [extended](#page-2-0) metal oxide possessing a cationic charge on the host has been synthesized by hydrothermal methods. The structure consists of 1D antimony oxide $[\text{Sb}_6\text{O}_7]^{4+}$ chains with a new structural motif of four Sb atoms wide and unprotonated sulfate anions between the chains. The material was characterized by powder and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Thermal behavior and chemical resistance in aqueous acidic conditions (pH ∼2) indicate a highly stable cationic material. The stability is attributed to the entirely inorganic composition of the structure, where 1D covalently extended chains are electrostatically bound to divalent anions.

Research on open-framework metal oxides has steadily
family of aluminosiliates having anormany emplitations in family of aluminosilicates having enormous applications in catalysis, molecular separation, and water purification.¹ The overall neutral or anionic charge on the framework, however, limits their importance in anion-based applications. T[wo](#page-2-0) 3D cationic zeotypes were also reported based on thorium and ytterbium, with borate clusters and chloride residing in 1D channels, respectively.² Meanwhile, many of the metals listed as EPA-priority pollutants are in the oxohydroxo anionic form.³ The trapping, imm[o](#page-2-0)bilization, and recognition of both inorganic and organic anionic species (e.g., DNA polyanion[s,](#page-2-0) pharmaceutical pollutants, and their metabolites) are important in biological and environmental systems.⁴

Cationic inorganic materials are a family of positively charged extended architectures where charge-bala[n](#page-2-0)cing anions reside in 0D capsules, 1D channels, or 2D interlamellar regions. Layered double hydroxides (LDHs) are the most widely studied examples, with the general formula $[M^{2+}{}_{1-x}M^{3+}{}_{x}(OH)_2]$ - $[A^{n-}_{x/n}$ · $mH_2O]$, where M^{2+} and M^{3+} are a range of metals (e.g., Mg^{2+} and Al^{3+}), x is the ratio of $M^{3+}/(M^{2+} + M^{3+})$, and A^{n-} is the *n*-valent counteranion (e.g., CO₃²-, *n* = 2).⁵ They demonstrate reversible anion exchange but form a paste in aqueous solution, making recovery and reusabilit[y](#page-2-0) more difficult. Indeed, the Bronsted basicity of their hydroxyl groups limits their chemical stability in acidic conditions and thus their application in corrosive wastewater.⁶ Layered rare-earth hydroxides are another emerging series of cationic inorganic layered materials with halide, nitrate, sulfate, and organosulfonate as interlamellar anions, although with intrinsic basicity as for LDHs.⁷

Our group has reported a class of cationic inorganic materials based on lower p-block metals where inert electron pairs on the metal point away from the inorganic connectivity and cap the 2D layers.⁸ Usually this group of materials has little or no bridging hydroxyl groups, unlike the fully hydroxylated metals of LDHs [th](#page-2-0)at give rise to their overall positive charge.⁹ One example is lead fluoride, $[{\rm Pb}_{2}{\rm F}_{2}]^{2+}$, which completely anion exchanges its α,ω -alkanedisulfonates for α,ω -alkanedi[ca](#page-2-0)rboxylates.¹⁰ Antimony oxides are used as fire retardants and catalysts, including our previously reported 2D $\left[{\rm Sb}_{4}{\rm O}_{4}({\rm OH})_{2}\right]$. $[O_3SC_2H_4SO_3]$.^{9c} Two examples of antimony oxide chains have been previously reported: one anionic, $[Sb₂O₄]^{2–}$, and the other cationic, $[Sb(OH)]^{2+}$ $[Sb(OH)]^{2+}$. The latter is one Sb atom wide, zigzags, and is covalently bridging to oxalate.¹

Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization of an entirely new cationic 1D antimony oxide $\left[{\rm Sb_6O_7}^{4+}\right] \left[{\rm (SO_4)}_2^{4-}\right]$ (which we denote as SLUG-34 for University of California, Santa Cruz, structure no. 34). The array of 1D $[\mathrm{Sb}_6\mathrm{O}_7]^{4+}$ chains interact electrostatically with sulfate groups. Although the initial anion-based host−guest experiments were not successful, this rare example of an entirely inorganic cationic material along with 1D M−O−M connectivity gives rise to high thermal stability to ∼500 °C and chemical resistance in aqueous acidic conditions.

Colorless needlelike crystals of SLUG-34 were synthesized under acidic hydrothermal conditions with high yield and phase purity at 150 °C, as supported by the match of the experimental powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern to the theoretical pattern simulated from single-crystal data (see the Supporting Information). Hydrothermal conditions higher than 150 °C produced a mixed phase of SLUG-34 and $SbO₂$ ([ICDD PDF](#page-2-0) [no. 01-074-](#page-2-0)0127). Lower temperature (125 °C) resulted in lower crystallinity, as evidenced by lower intensity and broadening of the X-ray diffraction peaks. A more concentrated hydrothermal aqueous solution and/or a higher molar ratio of the metal source to sulfuric acid gave rise to $Sb₂O₃$ (ICDD PDF no. 99-000-3357).

SLUG-34 crystallizes in a high-symmetry Ccc2 space group of the orthorhombic crystal system. Single-crystal X-ray crystallography reveals that the structure contains isolated 1D cationic antimony oxide chains with charge-balancing sulfate anions between adjacent chains (Figure 1).¹² Each $[Sb_6O_7]^{4+}$ chain propagates along the c axis and is surrounded by sulfate anions in the ab plane. The $[Sb_6O_7]^{4+}$ $[Sb_6O_7]^{4+}$ $[Sb_6O_7]^{4+}$ [ch](#page-2-0)ains consist of three

Received: June 23, 2012 Published: July 30, 2012

Figure 1. Top: b projection of one cationic $[\mathrm{Sb_6O_7}]^{4+}$ chain of SLUG-34. Bottom: c projection of SLUG-34 showing the chains end-on and interchain sulfates. The top left chain and two neighboring weakly bound sulfates are shown as thermal ellipsoids with 50% probability (Sb, turquoise; S, yellow; O, red).

crystallographically independent Sb centers, all with a similar trigonal-pyramidal coordination environment. The inert pair effect of the lower p-block metals allows umbrella-like coordination, with the inert pair of the outer Sb centers (Sb1 and Sb3; Figures 1 and S4 in the Supporting Information, SI) pointing outward and capping the chains. All of the O atoms in the antimonite chains are unpro[tonated, supported by b](#page-2-0)oth charge balance and X-ray crystallography ($R_1 = 0.0115$ and w R_2) = 0.0278) and attributable to the semimetal nature of Sb. Two of four crystallographically independent intrachain O atoms (O2 and O4) triply bridge to metal centers as for LDHs, while two other O atoms (O3 and O5) doubly bridge to Sb. The positive charge feature of this 1D extended structure is due to the trivalent, tricoordinate Sb centers and μ_3 -O atoms.

The cationic topology is further defined by electrostatic interaction between sulfates and positively charged chains. The sulfate O atoms interact with Sb by a weak contact $\lceil \sim 2.290(3)-2.376(3)$ Å]. These contact distances not only are significantly longer than the intrachain Sb−O bonds $[\sim]1.982(2)-2.149(2)$ Å] but also are well outside the accepted distance for a covalent Sb−O bond (2.061 ± 0.116 Å, from the Cambridge Structural Database).¹³ These bond distances indicate that the sulfates are not covalently bonded to the antimony oxide chains, supporting [th](#page-2-0)e theory that the material is indeed a cationic 1D extended inorganic structure. Initial attempts to exchange sulfate with other anions [e.g., chromate $(CrO₄⁻²)$, permanganate $(MnO₄⁻)$, perchlorate $(CIO₄⁻)$, and selenite $(\text{SeO}_3^2$ ⁻)] were unsuccessful. Likely, the divalent nature of the sulfates gives rise to strong electrostatic bonding with the cationic chains compared to weakly coordinating anions such as BF_4^- and ClO_4^- .

The thermal behavior of this rare cationic 1D antimonite topology was probed by in situ powder X-ray thermodiffraction in air and by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) under both $N₂$ and air purge. The TGA traces indicate that SLUG-34 is thermally stable to ca. 500 $^{\circ}$ C, which was also observed by in situ thermodiffraction, with no structural rearrangement after annealing of the crystals to 400 °C (Figures 2 and S2 in the SI).

Figure 2. In situ variable-temperature PXRD of SLUG-34 from room temperature to 400 °C and ex situ thermodiffraction after heating to 600 °C. The theoretical pattern is shown at the bottom as bars.

This thermal stability is significantly higher than those of layered rare-earth hydroxides and almost all metal−organic frameworks.7b,g,14 No apparent peak broadening in the in situ thermodiffraction confirms negligible loss of crystallanity upon heating. T[he ma](#page-2-0)jor decomposition step occurred in the temperature range 450−600 °C, with ex situ annealing of SLUG-34 at 600 $^{\circ}$ C producing SbO₂ (ICDD PDF no. 01-074-0127). In agreement, TGA shows 9.90 wt % loss over this region (theoretical 10.83 wt % based on the formation of SbO₂). Calcination of SLUG-34 under N₂(g) purge presumably condenses the structure to Sb metal with ∼40 wt % loss (theoretical 30.1 wt %).

The basic nature of LDHs and layered rare-earth hydroxides does not allow for chemical stability in acidic conditions, which is often the operation parameter in environmental hazardous waste. The chemical resistance of SLUG-34 to an acidic aqueous solution was examined by suspending samples of crystals (ca. 100 mg) in Milli-Q water, a buffered solution at pH 4, and an aqueous HCl, $HNO₃$, or $H₂SO₄$ solution at pH 2. PXRD before and after acidic solution treatment for 12 h confirms the integrity of the extended 1D structure (Figure 3). The crystallanity decreased after acid treatment, as judged by the lower diffraction peak intensity (cf. Figure S1 in the [SI\)](#page-2-0). Nevertheless, the survival of the diffraction peaks, including the three low-angle 2θ diffraction peaks with Miller indices (1[10\)](#page-2-0), (220), and (221), indicates chemical stability in acidic conditions. Indeed, there is no phase transition, and no diffraction peaks for SbO_2 , Sb_2O_3 , or other antimony oxides are observed.

In conclusion, SLUG-34 is a new cationic antimony oxide with 1D Sb−O−Sb inorganic connectivity and entirely inorganic nature. The strongly bound sulfate divalent anions give rise to stability to ∼500 °C and chemical resistance to acidic conditions. Although initial attempts for host−guest applications were unsuccessful, this breakthrough in both new

Figure 3. PXRD of SLUG-34 after treatment for 12 h in Milli-Q water (a), buffer at pH 4 (b), a HCl aqueous solution at pH 2 (c), a $HNO₃$ aqueous solution at pH 2 (d), and a H_2SO_4 aqueous solution at pH 2 (e).

cationic inorganic topology and sulfate templating opens up the possibility of cationic extended metalates with stability superior to that of LDHs for anion-based applications.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental details, additional figures and tables, CIF file including refinement parameters and full bond distances. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

[Correspond](http://pubs.acs.org)ing Author

*E-mail: soliver@ucsc.edu.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no co](mailto:soliver@ucsc.edu)mpeting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction and in situ thermodiffraction data in this work were recorded on instruments supported by the NSF Major Research Instrumentation (MRI) Program under Grants CHE-0521569 and DMR-1126845. H.F thanks the University of California, Santa Cruz, Graduate Division, for a Chancellor's Dissertation Year Fellowship.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Thomas, J. M. Sci. Am. 1992, 1992, 112−115. (b) Wight, A. P.; Davis, M. E. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 3589−3614. (c) Davis, M. E. Nature 2002, 417, 813−821. (d) Corma, A. J. Catal. 2003, 216, 298− 312.

(2) (a) Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Diwu, J.; Casey, W. H.; Phillips, B. L.; Depmeier, W.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49 (6), 1057−1060. (b) Goulding, H. V.; Hulse, S. E.; Clegg, W.; Harrington, R. W.; Playford, H. Y.; Walton, R. I.; Fogg, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13618−13620.

(3) (a) Keith, L. H.; Teillard, W. A. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1979, 13, 416. (b) Hogue, C. Chem. Eng. News 2011, 89, 6.

(4) (a) Weitkamp, J. Solid State Ionics 2000, 131, 175−188. (b) Wu, M.; Janssen, S. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2011, 45, 366−367.

(5) (a) Rives, V. LDHs: Layered Double Hydroxides: Present and Future; Nova Science Publishers Inc.: Hauppauge, NY, 2001.

(b) Slade, D. G. E. a. R. C. T. Layered Double Hydroxides; Duan, X., Evans, D. G., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: New York, 2006; pp 1−87.

(6) Rao, K. K.; Gravelle, M.; Valente, J. S.; Figueras, F. J. Catal. 1997, 173, 115−121.

(7) (a) Geng, F.; Ma, R.; Sasaki, T. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1177− 1185. (b) Geng, F.; Matsushida, Y.; Ma, R.; Xin, H.; Tanaka, M.; Izumi, F.; Lyi, N.; Sasaki, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16344− 16350. (c) Liu, Z.; Ma, R.; Osada, M.; Iyi, N.; Ebina, Y.; Takada, K.; Sasaki, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4872−4880. (d) Poudret, L.; Prior, T. J.; McIntyre, L. J.; Fogg, A. M. Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 7447− 7453. (e) McIntyre, L. J.; Jackson, L. K.; Fogg, A. M. Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 335−340. (f) Gandara, F.; Perles, J.; Snejko, N.; Iglesia, M.; Gomez-Lor, B.; Gutierrez-Puebla, R.; Monge, M. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7998−8001. (g) Liang, J.; Ma, R.; Geng, F.; Ebina, Y.; Sasaki, T. Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 6001−6007.

(8) (a) Oliver, S. R. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1868−1881. (b) Orgel, L. E. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 3815−3819. (c) Stoltzfus, M. W.; Woodward, P. M.; Seshadri, R.; Klepeis, J. H.; Bursten, B. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 3839−3850.

(9) (a) Tran, D. T.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Oliver, S. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 3966−3969. (b) Rogow, D. L.; Russell, M. P.; Wayman, L. M.; Swanson, C. H.; Oliver, A. G.; Oliver, S. R. J. Cryst. Growth Des. 2010, 10, 823−829. (c) Swanson, C. H.; Shaikh, H. A.; Rogow, D. L.; Oliver, A. G.; Campana, C. F.; Oliver, S. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 11737−11741. (d) Fei, H.; Oliver, S. R. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9066−9070. (e) Rogow, D. L.; Fei, H.; Brennan, D. P.; Ikehata, M.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Oliver, A. G.; Oliver, S. R. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 5619−5624.

(10) Fei, H.; Pham, C. H.; Oliver, S. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10729−10732.

(11) (a) Kaduk, J. A.; Toft, M. A.; Golab, J. T. Powder Diffr. 2009, 25, 19−24. (b) Sykora, R. E.; King, J. E.; Illies, A. J.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. J. Solid State Chem. 2004, 177, 1717−1722.

(12) $[Sb_6O_7][(SO_4)_2]$ (SLUG-34): colorless, needlelike, crystal dimens $0.245 \times 0.035 \times 0.030$ mm, orthorhombic, space group Ccc2, $a = 12.0329(6)$ Å, $b = 18.9371(10)$ Å, $c = 5.8595(3)$ Å, $V =$ 1335.19(12) Å³, Z = 4, T = 296(2) K, λ (Mo K α) = 0.71073 Å, μ (Mo Ka) = 12.364 mm⁻¹, 7481 reflections collected, 6647 reflections collected, 1479 unique ($R_{int} = 0.0263$), giving R1 = 0.0115, wR2 = 0.0278 for 1471 data with $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ and R1 = 0.0116, wR2 = 0.0279 for all 1479 data.

(13) Cambridge Structural Database: A covalent Sb−O bond length is generally between 1.9 and 2.1 Å, while 90.8% Sb−O bonds have bond lengths of less than 2.27 Å.

(14) (a) Fei, H.; Paw, L. U.; Rogow, D. L.; Bresler, M. R.; Abdollahian, Y. A.; Oliver, S. R. J. Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 2027−2032. (b) Fei, H.; Rogow, D. L.; Oliver, S. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7202−7209. (c) Zhao, X.; Wu, T.; Zheng, S. T.; Wang, L.; Bu, X.; Feng, P. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5536−5538. (d) Choi, H. J.; Dinca, M.; Long, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7848.